

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES; DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,288	07/23/2001	Brian J. Berdan	114289-1640	2875
30734	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER	
BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100		00	SMITH, JULIE KNECHT	
	ECTICUT AVE. N.W.	,,,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036-5304		3682	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
ď	09/911,288	BERDAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie K Smith	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the did will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 October 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum 3. Acknowledgment from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies no estic priority under 35 U.S. e first sentence of the specific provisional application has estic priority under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Interview	(Summany /DTO 442) Danas Na/s)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(</li> </ul>	5) Notice o	V Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/911,288

Art Unit: 3682

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-8, 16 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sorenson (5,598,598).

Regarding claim 1-4, 7-8, 16 and 19-20, Sorenson discloses an extensible handle, comprising a first elongated member (26), made of metal, having two ends, the first end positioned to engage a device to be operated, the first elongated member further comprising an expanded portion of increased width (96,110,98) and a narrow portion of reduced width (26) relative to the expanded portion (see fig 5), the first elongated member comprising at least two receptacles, further comprising pins (92, see fig. 3) for receiving a restricting device (80,110, see fig. 6) through a side surface of the first elongated member (26, see fig. 3). Sorenson further discloses a hollow second elongated member (54), made of metal, having two ends, the second elongated member positioned to receive the first elongated member, the second elongated member further including a restricting means (77, see fig. 5) having a width that is narrower than the width of the expanded portion of the first elongated member and restricts the expanded portion of the first elongated member and restricts the expanded

Art Unit: 3682

elongated member, wherein in order to prohibit movement in an axial direction, the first end of the second elongated member is configured to be retained by the restricting device (see col. 7).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sorenson as applied to claims 1-4, 7-8, 16 and 19-20 above, in view of Messier (5,433,127). Sorenson discloses an extensible handle as claimed, but does not disclose the handle activating a jack or dolly. However, Messier teaches the use of an extensible handle (8) wherein activation of the handle by a user activates a jacking device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the extensible handle as claimed by Sorenson to activate a jacking device as taught by Messier so as to provide an extensible handle that can be extended or retracted without the removal or addition of parts.

5. Claims 9-12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tallman (4,018,421) in view of Sorenson.

Regarding claims 9-11 and 14-15, Tallman discloses an apparatus for moving an item comprising a base (10), a supporting means (77) positioned above the base, an arm (71) having

Art Unit: 3682

two ends, the arm positioned so that one end is pivotally related to the base, a lifting means (20), and a handle (21). Tallman does not disclose a handle as claimed by the Applicant.

However, Sorenson teaches an extensible handle, comprising a first elongated member (26), made of metal, having two ends, the first end positioned to engage a device to be operated, the first elongated member further comprising an expanded portion of increased width (110) and a narrow portion of reduced width (26) relative to the expanded portion (see fig 3), the first elongated member comprising at least two receptacles, further comprising pins (92, see fig. 3) for receiving a restricting device (80,110, see fig. 6) through a side surface of the first elongated member (26, see fig. 3). Sorenson further discloses a hollow second elongated member (54), made of metal, having two ends, the second elongated member positioned to receive the first elongated member, the second elongated member further including a restricting means (77, see fig. 5) having a width that is narrower than the width of the expanded portion of the first elongated member and restricts the expanded portion of the first elongated member from fully passing through both ends of the second elongated member, wherein in order to prohibit movement in an axial direction, the first end of the second elongated member is configured to be retained by the restricting device (see col. 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the handle of Tallman with the extensible handle as taught by Sorenson so as to provide an extensible handle that can be extended or retracted without the removal or addition of parts.

Art Unit: 3682

Regarding claim 12, Tallman discloses an apparatus wherein activation of the handle by a user activates the lifting means, which in turn activates the arm and vertically moves the supporting means.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 25, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended the claims to indicate that a restricting device is received by the first elongated member through a side surface. However, a "side surface" could be any of the surfaces along the elongated member, including the end surface.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,288

Art Unit: 3682

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

UKS

December 12, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER SCSO